

Nursing Home Levels of Care Determinations from MDS Assessment Data

Clinical Logic Briefing
June 5, 2006

Ann M. Pooler, RN, PhD for
WI Dept. of Health & Family Services

1

Briefing on MDS LOC Logic

- Automated expert system that assigns NH LOCs (ISN, SNF, ICF1, ICF2, & "No level") from Full MDS
- ~20-minute web-cast on the MDS Logic's use
- Longer briefing only for those wanting to understand the full MDS LOC logic
- All Q & A's will be posted at http://dhfs.wisconsin.gov/rl_DSL/Training/index.htm

2

MDS LOC LOGIC WILL

- NOT AFFECT NH RATES by LOC!
- ONLY DO "NH ELIGIBLE or INELIGIBLE, i.e., "No Level"— (More on this later)
- NOT BE USED FOR MINIMAL STAFFING REQUIREMENTS in 2006
- BQA will explain, then back to the logic

3

NH STAFFING & LOC

- Statutes do refer to LOCs (ISN, SNF, ICF1&2) for MINIMAL STAFFING requirements
- Paul P from BQA will address that issue now
- As implementation is decided, DHFS will notify stakeholders

4

LOC & RATES

- WI NH reimbursement changing to RUGs
 - Implementation still being decided w/ NH Reps
 - May be 50% RUGS 7/2006, eventually 100%
- Basically LOCs will be “frozen” (extended) for existing MA residents
- LOC is still needed for “NH Eligibility” (e.g., “No LOC”), as RUGS doesn’t do that

5

MDS Logic Limited to “NH Eligibility”

- MDS LOC Logic will be PHASED IN:
- NH ELIGIBILITY For NEW ADMISSIONS
- NH ELIGIBILITY For New MA (switching payors)
- DHFS RN review of all “No Levels”
- Same appeals processes

6

“No Level” Results

- MDS LOC Logic is NOT tied to deinstitutionalization!
- LOC logic developed to match BQA decisions as much as possible
- Deinstitutionalization is a broad goal of DHFS, but is NOT reached thru “No Levels”
- Choice of residence does not hinge on LOC
- Need Waiver eligibility (=NH eligible)

7

Individuals Found “No LOC”

- 99 people out of 20,830 NH residents
- Many of them use walker, cane, perhaps chair for long distances
 - (Bias vs. needs)
- Perhaps med set up, or might be independent with meds
- Probably MDS not filled out accurately
- No surprises—DHFS will ask for review 1st

8

MDS LOC Logic Results

DHFS RN review & discussion with NH RNs of:

- EVERY “No LOC” result
 - Denials only if confirmed accurate
 - Normal appeals process
- Any questioned LOC result
 - Please review logic first
 - MOST will involve missing MDS info
- This feedback is part of logic QA/QI

9

Summary re Use of MDS LOC Logic

- Will NOT be used for rates
- “Current” (12/05 or 6/06) LOCs for MA residents will be frozen/ extended
- 50% RUGs increasing to 100% RUGS
- MDS Logic WILL do “No Levels” for NEW MA residents—WITH RN Review & Appeals
- MDS LOC Logic will NOT be used for minimal staffing calculations in 2006

10

PART 2: OPTIONAL DETAILS

- Questions re Part 1?
- Handouts – 1 doc contains the MDS LOC Logic explained in rest of this web-cast
- PowerPoint contains more background
- All Q & A's will be posted at http://dhfs.wisconsin.gov/rl_DSL/Training/index.htm

11

MDS LOC LOGIC & LTC FS

- WI's Long-Term Care Functional Screen also does NH/Waiver eligibilities & LOCs
- MDS—FS answers cross-feed done
- MDS & FS will almost always yield same LOC results
- Discrepancies usually from inaccurate MDS or FS info
- Or because MDS assumes help is needed, while FS does not

12

Background on the MDS Logic

- The MDS LOC logic is an “expert decision system” using best consensus judgment of RNs expert in setting LOC (details later)
 - Expert decision programs run subways, trains, airports, industrial controls; = form of artificial intelligence if complex enough
 - Experts consensus better than 1 expert
 - Based on data, evidence, & decision science
 - Can include “grey areas,” best judgments, “hunches” (vs. many old clinical pathways)
- WI DHFS expert RN decision systems development since 1998 (details later)

13

Ann Pooler --

- RN with PhD in philosophy
 - RN since 1979: In SNF, nursing internship, ICU, CCU, cardiac rehab, discharge planner, home health
 - WI Medicaid since 1992: Home health, PDN, personal care; LTC redesign, Family Care, Partnership, waivers, mental health, AODA
 - **Decision Logics for WI DHFS:** Home health PAs, LTC Functional Screen, Children's FS, Mental Health/AODA Functional screen, SSA disability determinations
 - Best Practice Guidelines, Trainings, & Workgroup facilitation for Family Care (interdisciplinary teams, consumer outcomes, AODA in LTC, resource allocation decision method, risk-taking guidelines), Mental Health Redesign counties, Partnership Program, various home- & community-based waiver programs, gerontology programs, etc.; grants & system change
 - Taught ethics at UW-Madison
AP Works, LLC—*Humanizing Human Services*
Madison, WI apooler@charter.net 608-658-6847

14

Benefits to NHs of LOC MDS

- **LESS WORK FOR YOU!** No more submitting paperwork (copying, writing narratives, etc.) for LOC
- More accurate, consistent, reliable decisions (because experts' consensus using your MDS assessment data)
- Feedback channels for questions, case reviews
- FASTER LOC results (no snail mail, etc.)

15

Old & New Decision Methods

- Old Method: Trained individual reads documents, notices factors, interprets, uses judgment to apply guidelines—often without seeing person
 - Subjectivity in application & review
 - Adjectives, narratives, judgment, biases
 - Reliability & Validity lower
 - Slow
 - Paperwork burden

16

New Decision Method: “Expert Systems”

- “Info” = Gathered directly in clear, objective format (MDS) by on-site assessors (you)
- “Logic” = Best consensus thinking of GROUP of experts (guided toward more logical thinking)
- “Gut feelings,” nuances, complexities, inferences can be articulated into logic
- Iterative (gradual, repetitive) development based on testing & feedback
- No erroneous LOC denials
- Seek 100% accuracy (vs ~92% with human reviewers)

17

Why Determine LOC from MDS?

- NH LOC logic developed on WI LTC Functional Screen (FS) proven in multiple studies to be accurate
 - Over 6,000 individual case reviews 1998-2003!
 - Numerous large- and small-scale studies
 - On-going QA/QI, with items, instructions, & logic revised if needed
- LTC FS was developed with MDS known

18

Why LOC from MDS? (cont'd)

- Avoid redundant work (doing MDS & FS)
- MDS was not developed for LOC, **but**
 - LTC FS proven to yield correct LOCs
 - MDS provides more clinical details than FS
- Missing from MDS:
 - Medications & MD Orders
 - Narratives
 - Both are key to old BQA decision method

19

MDS Is Missing Some Info

Many redundancies (overlaps, repetitions) in logic compensate for missing info

Other items serve as “proxies”—substitutes

e.g., Poor self-care captured in “Monitoring acute condition” or “no self-initiated activities” or is sick (fever, nausea, etc.)

Inferences are built in to logic, e.g.:

If has X condition, needs Y help;

If needs help with X, needs help with Y

20

How Was MDS LOC Logic Developed?

- Built from BQA expert logics already done
- Database of FULL MDSs for ALL WI NH residents -- 20,830 (on April 1, 2005)
- Logic tested as developed
- Allowed aggregate & INDIVIDUAL case reviews of full MDS
- Ann Pooler & Marianne Missfeldt, RN—
Lead BQA LOC Trainer until Nov. 2004—
both doing DHFS LOC logics since 1999

21

Why Weren't NH RNs Involved in Development?

- BQA RNs are the LOC decision experts
- Logic must reflect BQA policies & best thinking
- Misunderstandings of BQA policies are common (experience shows)
- DHFS had BQA workgroups on NH LOC in 1999, 2001, and 2002--i.e., already had extensive BQA LOC decision expertise developed and tested
- Every "No Level" will be reviewed

22

MDS LOC Logic Development Processes

1. BQA Policies, Statutes, MDS, RAI, & RUGs, & FS LOC Logic Reviewed
2. Rough draft MDS LOC logic sketched out
3. CHSRA* programmed draft logic into dbase of 20,830 full MDSs
4. 8 months of adjusting logic & testing
5. Testing = Overall AND individuals

*CHSRA= Center for Health Systems Research & Analysis, UW-Madison; lead developers of the MDS

23

Best Logic vs. Matching BQA

- MDS LOC Logic based on LAST FULL MDS
- BQA LOC with LAST FULL MDS= 0 if person not MA when Full MDS done
- BQA LOC on April 1, 2005 may have been adjusted since last full MDS, i.e.,
- Time lags between MDS data & BQA LOC
- So cannot expect 100% matches
- Section S--NH requested/expected LOC on last full MDS--can differ from BQA LOC

24

Best Logic vs. Matching BQA

- Project Goal = No disruption for NHs
- “Best logic” vs. “actual decisions” especially with time lag factor required balance:
 1. BQA Policies & Statutes
 2. Proven BQA LOC Decision Systems
 3. Interpreting actual BQA LOC decisions
 - Time lags, acutities, biases (e.g., age)
- Matching BQA LOC decisions as much as possible

25

MDS Logic (cont'd)

- BQA LOCs (in database) were used to test impact on LOC of particular clinical details & combinations
 - E.g., age matters: As proxy for frailty, or as bias??
- Data disproved some of our hypotheses
- Logic is data-based except for RN adjustments based on time lags, interpretations of BQA LOC, etc.
- E.g., almost all combo's of higher skilled needs were found in BQA SNFs rather than in BQA ISNs
- MDS Logic corrects for this

26

	MDS LOC LOGIC					
BQA LOC	No Level	ICF2	ICF1	SNF	ISN	Total
ICF2	10	13	25	68	3	119
ICF1	37	69	258	663	7	1034
SNF	51	171	991	16170	779	18162
ISN	1		6	820	688	1515
Total	99	253	1280	17721	1477	20830

27

MDS LOC Logic—Basic Structure

- Rarely, LOC directly from particular MDS items
e.g., if Diabetic & receiving daily injections, etc.
- Mostly, MDS Item Answers are assigned to “Weighted categories” = “Groups”
- Items are added within & across Groups
- Can get to complex combo’s/formulas
- Each tested on aggregate & individuals

28

MDS Items, Weights, & Logic

Handouts at
http://dhfs.wisconsin.gov/rl_DSL/Training/index.htm

Group A = ISN

- Suctioning
- Total Parenteral Nutrition (TPN)
- Tracheostomy care
- Tube feedings
- Ventilator

29

Sliding Scale Insulin

Sliding scale insulin = ISN (since Nov 2004)

- NHs would have to pay vendors to add this to Section S; would take ~ 6 months.
- Clinically imprecise criterion anyway

Instead, per MDS LOC Logic, ISN given for:

- Resident with Diabetes Dx receiving daily injections who has dialysis, wound infection, foot infection (e.g., cellulitis), Stage 3 or 4 ulcer, burn, or other open lesions
- Other factors tested & not supported by the data

30

Group B = SNF

- Burns (second or third degree)
- Chair prevents rising, or Limb restraint
- Comatose
- Conditions/diseases lead to instability
- Deterioration in Cognitive Status
- Dialysis
- End-stage disease or Hospice care
- Injections (on 4 of past 7 days)
- IV medication

31

Group B = SNF (cont'd)

- Physician Orders changes (on 8 of past 14 days)
- Physician Visits (on 6 of past 14 days)
- Acute episode/flare-up
- Surgical wounds
- Transfusions
- Traumatic brain injury
- Trunk restraint
- Stage 3 or 4 Ulcer(s)
- Stage 2 (3 or more ulcers)
- Wound infection

32

SNF = At least one item in Group B or Group G or Group J

Group G --Behaviors

- Physically abusive behavior: at least once in past week
- Resists Care: 4+ days in past week
- Socially inappropriate/ disruptive behavior 4+ days in past week
- Verbally abusive behavior 4+days/past wk
- Wandering-at least 1once past week

33

**SNF = At least one item in Group B or
Group G or Group J**
(cont'd)

Group J --Therapies

- Occupational therapy: 5+ days/past week
- Physical therapy: 5+ days/past week
- Speech therapy: 5+ days/past week

34

ICF-1

At least one from Groups C, D, E, K, or M

Group C - Immobility

- Oral feeding by syringe
- Lifted mechanically or manually
- Eating= Extensive or complete dependence
- Bed mobility= Extensive or complete dependence

35

ICF-1

At least one from Groups C, D, E, K, or M

Group D --Status (pg. 1 of 2)

- Alzheimer's/dementia special care unit
- Enemas/irrigation
- External (condom) catheter
- Indwelling catheter
- Injections 1 to 3 of past 7 days
- Intake/output
- Intermittent catheter
- Monitoring acute medical condition

36

ICF-1

At least one from Groups C, D, E, K, or M

Group D –Status (pg. 2 of 2)

- Open lesions other than ulcers, rashes, cuts
- Oxygen therapy
- Pain-Severe pain in past week
- Passive range of motion 3 to 7 of past 7 days
- Respiratory therapy 2 to 7 of past 7 days
- Training in community skills
- Ulcers: Stage 1 (4 or more ulcers)
- Ulcers: Stage 2 (1 or 2 ulcers)

37

ICF-1

At least one from Groups C, D, E, K, or M

Group E

- Fecal impaction
- Physician Orders (on 3 to 7 of past 14 days)
- Physician Visits (on 3 to 5 of past 14 days)
- Recurrent lung aspirations in last 90 days
- Septicemia
- Swallowing problem
- Toilet/commode/urinal—Did not use in past week

38

ICF-1

At least one from Groups C, D, E, K, or M

Group K--Therapies

- Occupational therapy: 2 to 4 days in past week
- Physical therapy: 2 to 4 days in past week
- Speech therapy: 2 to 4 days in past week

39

ICF-1

At least one from Groups C, D, E, K, or M

Group M -- Mentation

- Cognitive Skills/Daily Decision Making = Moderate or severe impairment
- Delusions, Hallucinations, or Periods of altered perception/awareness
- Episodes of disorganized speech
- Unable to recall current season
- Unable to recall that he/she in nursing home
- Unable to recall anything

40

Beyond Single Items

For an individual's LOC, logic usually involves:

- Counts of items (particular MDS answers) per Group (assigned weighted categories)
- Combinations & counts of items in different Groups
- Progresses linearly: Checks for ISN, then for SNF, then for ICF1, then for ICF2
- If none of those, is "No LOC"

41

LOC = ISN IF:

1. At least 6 items in Group B, OR
2. All of the following are true:
 - A. At least 6 items in Groups B and D combined; AND
 - B. One item in Group C or E, OR 2 items in Group I or 2 in Group F; AND
 - C. At least 10 items in Group S OR at least 6 total from Groups G, J, M, N, H, K, L, and O combined

42

LOC = ISN IF ALL ARE TRUE:

- A. At least 4 items in Group B
- B. At least 6 items in Group B
- C. At least 4 items in either Group E or F
- D. At least one item in Group C OR at least 4 items in either Group I or R
- E. At least 9 items total in Groups G, J, M, N, H, K, L, and)

43

SNF IF ONE OF THESE IS TRUE:

- A. At least one item in Group B or Group G or Group J
- B. At least two items in Group K
- C. At least three items in Group M
- D. At least four from one Group : Group C or Group D or Group E or Group L
- E. 9 items in Group S

44

SNF IF ONE OF THESE IS TRUE:

- A. At least 5 total from Groups J, K, and L combined
- B. At least 7 total from Groups C, D, E, F, H, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, and R combined
- C. At least 4 total from Groups D, E, F combined
- D. At least 5 total from tables C, D, E, and F combined
- E. At least 14 "ICF1 and ICF2" results

45

SNF IF ALL of THESE ARE TRUE:

- A. At least 3 items in Group R OR one items in Group C or Q; AND
- B. At least 3 items in Group F OR one item in Group D or E; AND
- C. At least 3 items in Group T OR one item in Group M,N,O,P,H,K, or L

46

SNF IF ALL THESE ARE TRUE:

- At least 4 items in Group B OR at least 6 items in Group D; AND
- At least 4 items in Group E OR at least 4 items in Group F; AND
- At least 4 items in Group R OR at least 4 items in Group I OR one items in Group C; AND
- At least 9 from Groups G, H, J, K, L, M, N, O

47

ICF2 IF ANY OF THESE:

- At least one from Groups F, H, L, N, O, P or T; OR
- At least two items in Group Q; OR
- At least three items in Group R; OR
- At least five items in Group S; OR
- Two items in Group S AND at least 1 from both Groups Q and R

48

Group	D	E	F	H	I	K	L	T	O	P	R
M	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1
N	ICF1	ICF1	ICF2	ICF2	ICF1	ICF1	ICF2	ICF2	ICF1	ICF1	ICF2
D		ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1
E			ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1
F				ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF2	ICF1	ICF2	ICF2
H					ICF1	ICF1	ICF2	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1	ICF2
I						ICF1	ICF1	ICF2	ICF1	ICF2	--
K							ICF2	ICF2	ICF1	ICF1	ICF1
L								ICF2	ICF2	ICF2	ICF2
T									ICF2	ICF2	ICF2
O										ICF2	ICF2
P											-
R											

49

NO LOC

- No items from Groups A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H, J,K,L,M, N, O, P, S, or T
- No more than one item in Group I or R.
- None of the combo's cited earlier & in handouts.

50

NO LOC

- 0 or 1 ADL, not including eating
- No cognitive impairments, no behavioral challenges; no emotional disorders impairing thinking, decision-making, or self-direction (preferences and goals)
- No falls, illnesses, instabilities, treatments
- No impairments to self-management
- No communication impairments.
- (Med management possible, but probably only set-up.)
- No other skilled nursing needs (see next pg)

51

Re Skilled Nursing Needs

- You PROVIDE skilled nursing – assessment, care planning, monitoring, etc. for every (potential) NH resident
- MA Eligibility = What individual NEEDS, is UNABLE to do for herself.
- MDS assumes conditions = Needs.
- MDS logic compensates with redundancies & inferences of need for help

52

Individuals Found “No LOC”

- 99 people out of 20,830 NH residents
- Many of them use walker, cane, perhaps chair for long distances
 - (Bias vs. needs)
- Perhaps med set up, or might be independent with meds
- Probably MDS not filled out accurately
- No surprises—DHFS will ask for review 1st

53

The Rest of LOC Logic Is In Handouts

- MDS LOC logic will be DHFS policy
- Replaces previous BQA LOC guidelines
- May differ from your past experience
- Based on all 20,830 residents
- Q & A's will be posted
- Additional guidelines, etc., based on your questions & feedback
- Implementation is still being worked out!

54

Implementation Is Still Being Worked Out

- MDS LOC Logic will be PHASED IN
- MDS Logic will be run at EDS

To be used for:

- NH ELIGIBILITY for New ADMISSIONS
- NH ELIGIBILITY for New MA (switching payer)
- NH Eligibility as Full MDS becomes due
- DHFS RN review of all “No Levels”

55

Q & A & Follow Up

All questions & answers re this webcast will be posted at
http://dhfs.wisconsin.gov/rl_DSL/Training/index.htm

Contacts

- Implementation, Process Questions: DHCF or EDS
 - Will post contact info with Q & As & forthcoming Memos
- MDS Questions: Your current MDS QA sources
- Clinical Logic Questions only:

Ann Pooler

AP Works, LLC – *Humanizing Human Services*
920 Ridgewood Way, Madison, WI 53713-1224
apooler@charter.net 608-658-6847

56
